

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 DIANA ROSAS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 GEICO Casualty Company,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01200-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Proposed Pretrial Order  
and Admitting Exhibits**

[ECF No. 44]

8  
9 I rejected the parties' previous proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 42) because it did  
10 not comply with Local Rules 16-3 and 16-4. *See* ECF No. 43. Among other things, I specifically  
11 pointed out that the parties improperly listed generic objections to all of each other's exhibits,  
12 without identifying which objection applies to which exhibit. In the newly proposed order, the  
13 parties repeat this error of listing generic, undifferentiated objections to each other's exhibits,  
14 despite the fact that only five exhibits have not been stipulated into evidence (four offered by the  
15 plaintiff and one offered by the defendant). *See* ECF No. 44 at 4-5. Because the parties have not  
16 properly objected to the specific exhibits, all objections are deemed waived and all five  
17 remaining exhibits listed on pages four and five of the proposed pretrial order (ECF No. 44 at 4-  
18 5) will be admitted into evidence at trial.

19 With that modification, I approve the parties' proposed joint pretrial order. A separate  
20 order will be entered containing the trial and calendar call dates.

21 DATED this 20th day of November, 2019.

22  
23   
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE